Worksheet 6. Application Summary

2007

106,000 lbs.

This worksheet will be posted on the we	eb to notify the public of	requests for critical use e	cemptions beyond th	e 2005 phase out for methyl	bromide. Therefore, this wo	orksheet cannot be claimed as CBI.
1. Name of Applicant:	Pet Food Institute		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2. Location:	U.S.					
3. Crop:	pet food					
4. Pounds of Methyl Bromide Requ	ested	2005 106,000				
5. Area Treated with Methyl Bromid	9	2005 78,000,000	cubic feet units			
6. If methyl bromide is requested fo	r additional years, reas	son for request:		į		
2006 106,000 lbs	. Area T	reated 78,000,000	cubic feet units			

Place an "X" in the column(s) tabeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

cubic feet units

Area Treated 78,000,000

Potential Alternatives	Not Technically Feasible	Not Economically Feasible	Reasons
Phosphine alone and in combination	X	х	Phosphine is not a feasible alternative because of the risks posed to facilities and equipment due to corrosion. In facilities where production schedules are full, increased downtime would also increase the costs of phosphine over methyl bromide significantly.
Heat	Х	X	Heat treatment is not feasible due to the need to empty facilities entirely of ingredients, products and packaging materials. In addition, the increased costs from extended downtime are prohibitive.
	5		